

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.axpob.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,829	(02/28/2002	Don Elrod	LYNN/0151	3470	
24945	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
STREETS		_		EINSMANN, M	IARGARET V	
13831 NOR' SUITE 355	THWEST	FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 770	40		1751		
11000101.,						

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/084,829	ELROD, DON	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret Einsmann	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may in. a reply within the statutory minimum of the reply within the statutory minimum of the red will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mistatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely, irth from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			3
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D, 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 17-19 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	re withdrawn from considera	ion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	l accepted or b) ☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docul 2. Certified copies of the priority docul 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attach mont/e)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/04.	8) Paper N	/ Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

The faxed copy of Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed 8/13/04 and dated 8/18/04 by the PTO has been received (6/7/04) and considered.

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 17-19, 21 and 30 in the reply filed on 5/18/04 is acknowledged. Claims 1-16 and 20 are withdrawn by the exaniner as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-19, 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Calcaterra et al., US 4,810,567.

Calcaterra discloses fabrics having the same properties as the claimed fabrics. Said fabrics are formed by grafting a polymerisable monomer onto a fabric surface after forming peroxide groups and decomposing the peroxide groups with an iron catalyst. In column 7 lines 48 et seq, the process as claimed is disclosed, wherein a ferrous ion-hydrogen peroxide redox system is used to form the oxygen radicals used to graft the monomers onto the fabric of fibers. The process is taught as being equivalent to several other redox systems for initiating graft polymerization. The process is summarized in col 8 line 54 et seq. The fabric formed is tested for its antimicrobial properties, and provides protection from E. coli and S. aureus as claimed. See col 11 lines 18-37. Accordingly the products formed by the method of Calcaterra et al.

Art Unit: 1751

anticipate the product formed by applicant's claimed process. Regarding the limitation of claims 25 and 26, in column 1 the Introduction explains that an improved fabric for surgical draping and other items used in hospital settings is needed. Regarding the limitations of claims 28-30, the product of the reference is not taught to have substantial disruption of interfiber adhesion and is not taught to have a substantial loss of fabric strength, tensile strength, tear resistance or abrasion resistance.

The subject matter would have been obvious to the skilled artisan because the patentability of a product by process claim does not depend on its method of production and where the examiner has found a similar product, the burden rests with the applicant to prove that that product is patentably distinct. See In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; In re Pilkington, 162 USPQ 145.

"The lack of physical description in a product-by-process claim makes the determination of the patentability of the claim more difficult, since in spite of the fact that the claim may recite only process limitations, it is the patentability of the product claimed and not the process that must be established. We are therefore of the opinion that when the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be identical with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a rejection based alternatively on either section 102 or 103 of the statute is eminently fair and acceptable. As a practical matter, the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith." *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685,688 (CCPA 1972).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/084,829

Art Unit: 1751

272-1314. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -4:30 PM M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marguet Einsmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

June 8, 2004